UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/638,211	08/08/2003	Benjamin A. Pullen	2003-0483.01	5544	
	7590 11/02/2007		EXAMINER		
LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT			HASSAN, AURANGZEB		
740 WEST NE BLDG, 082-1	W CIRCLE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	KY 40550-0999		2182		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•				<i>[</i> 2		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	4		
Office Action Summary		10/638,211	PULLEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Aurangzeb Hassan	2182			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	·-		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DESIGNATION OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communiation ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>	<u>ugust 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 32-37</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withom consideration.				
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 32-37</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	•	•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	received in this National Stag	е		
* (application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	coccived			
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not i	eceived.			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date formal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/638,211 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 3, 7 11, 32 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohta (US Publication Number 2003/0084132) in view of Furukawa et al. (US Publication Number 2001/0039590 hereinafter "Furukawa").
- 3. As per claim 1, Ohta teaches a method of configuring a peripheral device on a network, the method comprising the acts of: sending a request from a host across the network (S701 client sends request to server for peripheral, figure 7, paragraph [0059]); receiving a response by the host, the response including a current configuration setting of the peripheral device (client receives the transmitted configuration information from peripheral, paragraph [0059]); and determining by the host whether to configure the peripheral device (installer stores and activated in automatic install mode, paragraphs [0060-0063]), without user intervention (without any user operation, paragraph [0032]).

Ohta does not explicitly disclose the source of the response.

Furukawa teaches receiving a response from the peripheral device, the response including a current configuration setting of the peripheral device (paragraph [0019]).

Art Unit: 2182

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Ohta with the above teachings of Furukawa. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to make such modifications in order to give a peripheral flexibility in transmitting and receiving data and commands over a network (paragraph [0011]).

4. As per claim 32, Ohta teaches a method wherein the host includes a configuration utility (host comprises, printer driver activating unit is a client device program 504 which is stored in the server device 502, paragraph [0049]), and further comprising the acts of: receiving the request by the peripheral device over the network from a the configuration utility (peripheral receives installer request); sending the response to the configuration utility in response to the request (client receives the transmitted configuration information from peripheral, paragraph [0059]), the response including the current configuration setting of the peripheral device and indicating that the peripheral device recognizes the request (shows acknowledgement, s1001, figure 10); receiving a configuration packet by the peripheral device over the network from the configuration utility (s1010, figure 10); parsing the configuration packet for an updated configuration setting (s1011, figure 10); and changing the current configuration setting of the peripheral device to match the updated configuration setting included in the configuration packet (s1012, figure 1).

Ohta does not explicitly disclose that the request is a query packet.

Art Unit: 2182

Furukawa teaches a method wherein a request between peripheral and network is a query packet (request packets, paragraph [0019]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Ohta with the above teachings of Furukawa. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to make such modifications in order to give a peripheral flexibility in transmitting and receiving data and commands over a network (paragraph [0011]).

- 5. As per claim 2, Ohta teaches a method comprising the act of: sending a configuration message from the host to the peripheral device, the configuration message including an updated configuration setting for the peripheral device, the updated configuration setting generated and sent by the host without user intervention (configuration message setup and then transmitted via virtual printer, paragraphs [0074 0076]).
- 6. As per claim 3, Ohta teaches a method wherein the configuration message includes a data payload (.dll data payload files, paragraph [0036]).
- 7. As per claims, 7, 8, 9 and 37, Ohta teaches a method wherein the peripheral device is selected from the group consisting of: a print server, a network adaptor, a scanner, a printer, an all-in-one-device, and a fax machine (peripheral device includes a multitude of devices, paragraph [0052]).

8. As per claim 10, Ohta teaches a method wherein a configuration utility software is installed on the host (printer driver activating unit is a client device program 504 which is stored in the server device 502, paragraph [0049]).

- 9. As per claim 11, Ohta teaches a method wherein the configuration setting includes one of: a network setting (figure 12) and a device-specific setting (figure 15).
- 10. As per claims 33, 34, 35,Ohta teaches a method wherein the configuration settings are communicated by binary data wherein the binary data is a series of delimited text strings and is encrypted data (.dll data payload files, paragraph [0036]).
- 11. As per claim 36, Ohta teaches a method wherein an acknowledgment packet is sent to the configuring utility after the new configuration has been accepted (complete, paragraph [0100]).
- 12. Claims 4, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohta in view of Furukawa further in view of Cheng (US Publication Number 2002/0078161).
- 13. As per claims 4, 5 and 6, Furukawa teaches a method of configuring a peripheral device on a network, the method comprising the acts of: sending a request from a host

Art Unit: 2182

across the network via broadcast (broadcast taught as known in prior art, paragraph [0007]) and multicast (paragraph [0019])

Furukawa does not explicitly disclose the streaming mechanism unicast.

Cheng teaches the streaming mechanisms utilized in sending requests via unicast (paragraph [0024]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the combination of Ohta and Furukawa with the above teachings of Cheng. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make such modifications in order to allow for a more variety in a heterogeneous network in an a home and office environment (paragraphs [0007 & 0024]).

Response to Arguments

- 14. Applicant's arguments filed 8/1/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues:
- 1) Ohta does not teach configuring a peripheral device by installing on the peripheral itself and does not teach configuring a peripheral device without user intervention as seen in claim 1.
- 2) Furukawa is directed to an unrelated method of configuring a remote printer with an IP address and there is no motivation to combine with Ohta's configuration utility.

15. As per argument 1, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., configuring a peripheral means installing on the peripheral device itself) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The Examiner notes that the claim limitations do not necessitate installation of any items on the peripheral let alone any configuration of the peripheral itself represented by claim 1. The closest the claims necessitate configuration are *determining by the host whether to configure the peripheral device* and there is no mention of any installation or actual configuring.

Furthermore the limitations of "without user intervention" are not interpreted appropriately. The claim necessitates determining by the host ... without user intervention. The Applicant has cited paragraph [0093] as counter support to represent that the prior art teaches user intervention however the Examiner notes that paragraphs [0059-0063] have been cited as the pertinent prior art in the step of determining whether to configure a peripheral by a host without user intervention and paragraph 0093 is a different embodiment as seen in paragraph 0084. Clearly one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize Ohta consists of separate embodiments and separate steps with and without user invention wherein the embodiment of without user intervention has been cited.

Application/Control Number: 10/638,211 Page 8

Art Unit: 2182

16. As per argument 2, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Ohta teaches a computer system that includes a network in which a client, server and printer are connected wherein an IP addresses are utilized as seen in paragraph [0054]. Furukawa analogously utilizes an IP address to communicate with a printer on a network as seen in paragraphs 0018 and 0019. Clearly one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that Ohta and Furukawa both teach networked printers utilizing an IP address to communicate and facilitate setting configurations therein.

Conclusion

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Publication Number 2003/0149917 teaches configuration utilities for peripherals such as printers and requests associated therein.
- 18. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/638,211 Page 9

Art Unit: 2182

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aurangzeb Hassan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

// HENRY TSAI ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

10/29/07